

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION SIX

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

PHILLIP ANTONIO KNOX,

Defendant and Appellant.

2d Crim. No. B268522
(Super. Ct. No. BA412413)
(Los Angeles County)

In 2013, Phillip Antonio Knox pled guilty to a violation of Penal Code section 653w, subsection (a)(1) (failure to disclose the origin of a recording or audiovisual work). The trial court suspended imposition of sentence and placed Knox on formal probation for a period of three years on the condition that he serve 180 days in county jail.

On November 28, 2015, the trial court revoked Knox's probation following a contested hearing. The evidence showed that Knox had failed to report to probation as instructed, failed to pay monthly costs and missed multiple appointments with his financial evaluator. The court imposed the low term of two years in county jail on Knox's conviction for violating Penal Code section 653w, subdivision (a)(1). (See Pen. Code, §§ 653w, subd. (b)(1), 1170, subd. (h).) It suspended execution of 15 months of

that sentence, and placed him on mandatory supervision for 15 months. He was awarded 104 days of presentence custody credit. Knox appeals the order revoking probation.

We appointed counsel to represent Knox in this appeal. After examining the record, counsel filed an opening brief raising no issues and requesting that we independently examine the record pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.

On May 31, 2016, we advised appellant in writing that he had 30 days within which to personally submit any contentions or issues he wished to raise on appeal. We have received no response.

According to the probation report filed on November 18, 2015, Knox last reported to probation on October 31, 2014. He made three payments of \$10 each toward his financial obligation of \$2,948, leaving a balance of \$2,918. His payment plan requires that he pay \$25 per month. In addition, Knox missed seven scheduled appointments with his financial evaluator.

We have examined the record and are satisfied that appellant's attorney has fully complied with the responsibilities of counsel and that no arguable issue exists. (*People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d at p. 441.)

The judgment (order revoking probation) is affirmed.

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED.

PERREN, J.

We concur:

GILBERT, P. J.

TANGEMAN, J.

Edmund W. Clarke, Jr., Judge
David M. Horowitz, Judge
Superior Court County of Los Angeles

John Alan Cohan, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for
Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.